

Natural Resources Conservation Service

**Application Ranking Summary
Santa Fe-Pojoaque SWCD-Tribal**

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: Santa Fe-Pojoaque SWCD-Tribal		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds consistent with TMDL's where available as well as the reduction of groundwater contamination or point source such as contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in the conservation of a considerable amount of ground or surface water resources?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Irr. Crop #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Irr. Crop #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Irr. Crop #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Irr. Crop #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Irr. Crop #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Grazing #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Grazing #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Grazing #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Grazing #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. Grazing #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. GR #1 Does applicant have a current EQIP contract that is not being applied on Schedule?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. GR #2 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled without good justification?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. GR #3 Is the operation going to convert from Continuous Use to Seasonal Use & leave 50% of seasonal growth?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. GR #4 Is the operation going to convert from either Continuous or Seasonal to Intensively timed rotation & leave 50% seasonal growth?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. GR #5 Will the proposed grazing operation result in a deferment Period of 75% or more of the growing season on contracted acres?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. GR #6 Will the proposed grazing operation result in a deferment of 51 - 75% of the growing season on contracted acres?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

7. GR #7 Will the proposed grazing operation result in a deferment of 25 - 50% of the growing season on contracted acres?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. GR #8 Is the operation going to apply one practice that results in reduction of soil erosion and enhance grazing lands? (516, 378, 614,410,382,314)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. GR #9 Is the operation going to apply two practices that result in reduction of soil erosion and enhance grazing lands? (practices same as above)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. GR #10 Is the operation going to apply three practices that result in reduction of soil erosion and enhance grazing lands? (practices same as above)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
11. GR #11 Is the operation going to apply four practices that result in reduction of soil erosion and enhance grazing lands? (practices same as above)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
12. GR #12 Is the operation going to apply at least one of the following practices, Brush Mgt., Tree & Shrub Establishment, Wildlife Guzzler,Fencing.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
13. Irr.Crop #1 Does applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being applied on Schedule?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
14. Irr.Crop #2 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled without good justification?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
15. Irr.Crop #3 Did the Irrigation Efficiency percentage points increase in the After using FIRS to evaluate by 5-10%	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
16. Irr.Crop #4 Did the Irrigation Efficiency percentage points increase in the After using FIRS to evaluate by 11-20%	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
17. Irr.Crop #5 Did the Irrigation Efficiency percentage points increase in the After using FIRS to evaluate by 21-30%	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
18. Irr.Crop #6 Did the Irrigation Efficiency percentage points increase in the After using FIRS to evaluate by 31% +	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
19. Irr.Crop #7 Is the operation going to apply a total of one Irrigation Water Conservation Practice (587,430,464,466,441,442,428)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
20. Irr.Crop #8 Is the operation going to apply a total of two Irrigation Water Conservation Practices (587,430,464, 466,441,442,428)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
21. Irr.Crop #9 Is the operation going to apply a total of three or more Irrigation Water Conservation Practices (587, 430,464,466,441,442,428)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
22. Irr.Crop #10 Is the operation converting from surface irrigation to either sprinkler or trickle irrigation	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
-------------------	-----------

Ranking Score

Efficiency: Local Issues: State Issues: National Issues: Final Ranking Score:
--

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

NRCS Designated Conservationist:	Applicant Signature Required for Contract Development:
Signature Date:	Signature Date: